

## Rubric for Regular Call, Spring 2023

### Objectives

Criterion	Excellent 4	Good 3	Fair 2	Poor 1	Failure 0
<b>1. Significance of the Project</b>	The need(s) of the project is described clearly as a significant contribution to the field.	The need(s) of the project is described as a contribution to the field.	The need(s) of the project is understandable and might result in contributions to the field, but it is not explained plainly.	The need(s) of the project is described vaguely and difficult to understand.	The need(s) of the project is not evident as a contribution to the field.
<b>2. Objective(s) and Goals</b>	The specific objective(s) and goals are described clearly. Underlying theories and rationales (or research questions and variables in case of traditional research projects) are explained sufficiently and explicitly.	The objective(s) and goal(s) are described. Underlying theories and rationales (or research questions and variables in case of traditional research projects) are not explained sufficiently and explicitly.	The objective(s) and goal(s) are described vaguely. Underlying theories and rationales (or research questions and variables in case of traditional research projects) are not explained completely.	The objective(s) and goal(s) are noted, but they do not match the scope or focus of the project.	The proposal does not offer an objective or goal.
<b>3. Methods and Procedures</b>	All the components of methods and procedures employed in the project are clearly described.	Some components of methods and procedures employed in the project are clearly described.	The proposal includes an incomplete and vague description of methods and procedures.	The proposal only indicates methods and procedures briefly without any details.	No description of the methods and procedures is included.
<b>4. Timeline</b>	A specific and reasonable timeline is included. The timeline demonstrates the completion of the proposed project within the grant cycle.	A timeline is included, but it is rather general. The timeline demonstrates the completion of the proposed project within the grant cycle.	While the timeline demonstrates the completion of the proposed project within the grant cycle, the timeline is not reasonable.	A timeline is provided, but it does not ensure the completion of the project within the grant cycle.	No timeline is provided.
<b>5. Outcomes</b>	The outcome(s) of the project is clear and specific as a <b>publication, presentation and/or performance</b> , with several details offered.	The outcome(s) of the project is general, with a few details offered.	The proposal provides a vague plan for disseminating the results.	An outcome(s) is listed, but it is not acceptable for the project.	There is no mention of how the results would be disseminated as an outcome of the project.
<b>6. Itemized Budget</b>	A complete itemized budget is provided with information about the costs and vendors. Clear rationales for the expenses are provided.	A complete itemized budget is provided, but some information on the costs and vendors is missing. Rationales for the expenses are provided.	A complete itemized budget is provided, but some information on the costs and vendors is missing. Some rationales for the expenses are not clear.	A complete itemized budget is provided without the information on the costs and vendors. No rationales are given for the expenses.	No itemized budget is provided.
<b>7. Identified External Funding Opportunities or Sustainability Plan</b>	A complete, well-researched list of external funding opportunities, or a	A complete, well-researched list of external funding opportunities, or a	External funding opportunities, or a plan to sustain the project, is	External funding opportunities, or a plan to sustain the project, is	No identification of external funding opportunities, or

	plan to sustain the project, is provided and steps have been taken to secure funding/ensure sustainability.	plan to sustain the project, is provided, but no steps have been taken to secure either.	provided but not clearly described.	minimally provided.	sustainability plan, is provided.
<b>8. Qualifications</b> Are the PI (and Co-PIs) appropriately trained and qualified to carry out the proposed work? Is the proposed activity appropriate to the experience level of the PI (and Co-PIs)?				PI has substantiated appropriate training and qualifications to carry out the proposed work. The proposed activity is appropriate for the PI's level of experience.	PI has not substantiated appropriate training and qualifications to carry out the proposed work. The proposed activity is inappropriate for the PI's level of experience.